

APPLICANT: KAGAN

DOCKET NO. 3091/21



**FOR: HANDLING MULTIPLE NETWORKS
TRANSPORT SERVICE LEVELS WITH
HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE ARBITRATION**

FILED: JAN 23 2002

SERIAL NO: 10/052,435

**Receipt of the following application papers is evidenced hereon by
the official stamp of the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office:**

RESPONSE

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Applicant:

Kagan et al.

Serial No.: 10/052,435

Filed: January 23, 2002

For: Handling Multiple Network Transport
Service Levels With Hardware And
Software Arbitration

Examiner: Glenford J. Madambat

Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Group Art Unit: 2151

Attorney
Docket: 3091/21


RESPONSE

Sir:

This is in response to the United States Patent and Trademark Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment mailed October 6, 2006 (copy enclosed), which response is being made on or before November 6, 2006.

Please find attached hereto a properly signed copy of the amendment filed on May 1, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,



Mark M. Friedman
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 33,883

Date: October 17, 2006



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,435	01/23/2002	Michael Kagan	3891-0102P	1934
7590 10/06/2006			EXAMINER	
Dr. Mark Friedman Ltd. c/o Discovery Dispatch 9003 Florin Way Upper Marlboro, MD 20772			MADAMBA, GLENFORD J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2151	

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2006

6 Nov 06

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Notice of Non-Compliant
Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)**

Application No.

10/052,435

Applicant(s)

KAGAN ET AL.

Examiner

Art Unit

Glenford Madamba

2151

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The amendment document filed on 16 July 2006 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.

THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:

- ☐ 1. Amendments to the specification:
 - ☐ A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings.
 - ☐ B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined.
 - ☐ C. Other _____.
- ☐ 2. Abstract:
 - ☐ A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72.
 - ☐ B. Other _____.
- ☐ 3. Amendments to the drawings:
 - ☐ A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 - ☐ B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required.
 - ☐ C. Other _____.
- ☐ 4. Amendments to the claims:
 - ☐ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present.
 - ☐ B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims)
 - ☐ C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).
 - ☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order.
 - ☐ E. Other: _____.
- ☒ 5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):
See Continuation Sheet

For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.


JASON CARDONE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM

TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

1. Applicant is given **no new time period** if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the **entire corrected amendment** must be resubmitted.
2. Applicant is given **one month**, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the **corrected section** of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.

Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action.

Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:

- Abandonment** of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action; or
- Non-entry** of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.

Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable

Telephone No.

Continuation of 5 Other: The proposed reply filed on 7/16/2006 has not been entered because it is unsigned. Since the above mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given a TIME PERIOD of ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME LIMIT MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).